EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:21-cv-00708-JCM-DJA Document 1-1 Filed 04/30/21 Page 2 of 7 Electronically Issued

Electronically Issued 3/24/2021 3:02 PM

- 11		•	
1	SUMM		
	Justin G. Randall, Esq.		
2	Novada Bar No. 12476		
	ER INJURY ATTORNEYS		
3	4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147		
4	Telephone: (702) 968-7500		
7	Facsimile: (702) 968-7525		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
	DISTRICT COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7			
' i	MARCUS XAVIER GAINES, individually;)	
8	•) CASE NO. A-21-831109-0	3
	Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO. 24	
9		<i>Y</i>	
	ν,) AMENDED SUMMONS	
10	DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL individually). AMENDED SCIMINONS	
11	DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL, individually; TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI,	<u> </u>	
	LLC; DOES I - X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I -)	
12	X, inclusive,)	
)	
13	NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR		
14	BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS, READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.		
,,	DEING HEARD CIVESS TOO RESTORD WITHIN 20 DATS, READ THE ENFORMATION DELOW.		
15	TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC		
16	TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you for the relief set forth in the		
17	Complaint,		
.,	1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days at	fter this Summons is served on you, e	xclusive of the day of service
18	you must do the following: a. File with the Clerk of this Court, whose add	rese le choum helour a formal unitten	recnance to the Camplaint in
	a. File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.		
19	b. Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.		
ا ۵	2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a		
20	judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint		
21	3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this	matter you should do so promptly so	that your response may be
	filed on time,	mattor, you allowed do so promptly so	Titlet your reaponed intely be
22	4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members		
	and legislators, each have 45 days after service of this summons within which to file an answer or other responsive pleading to		
23	the complaint.	STEVEN D. GRIERSON	
24	Issued at the direction of:	CLERK OF THE COURT	
4.1	The state of the s		2/2/12021
25		1 11/11/20	3/24/2021
	Justin G. Randall, Esq.	DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
26	Nevada Bar No. 12476	200 Lewis Avenue, 5th Floor	
1	4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601	
2 4	Attorneys for Plaintiff.	Marie Kramer	
28			

Electronically Filed 3/24/2021 3:00 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ACOM

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Justin G. Randall, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12476 ER INJURY ATTORNEYS

4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

Telephone: (702) 968-7500 Facsimile: (702) 968-7525

|| Email: justin@erinjuryattorneys.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCUS XAVIER GAINES, individually;

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. A-21-831109-C DEPT, NO. 24

11,

DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL, individually; TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC; DOES I – X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I

- X, inclusive,

amend this Complaint accordingly.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

13

Plaintiff complains as follows:

15

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

This Court has jurisdiction over this matter under NRS 14.065 and NRS 4.370(1) because

The true names and capacities of the Defendants designated herein as Doe or Roe

16 17

the facts alleged occurred in Clark County, Nevada and involve an amount in controversy in excess of \$15,000,00.

2.

1.

19

18

Corporations are presently unknown to Plaintiff at this time, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. When the true names and capacities of these defendants are ascertained, Plaintiff will

22

24 25

26 27

28

3. At all times pertinent herein, Defendants were agents, servants, employees or joint venturers of every other Defendant, and at all times mentioned herein were acting within the scope and course of said agency, employment, or joint venture, with knowledge and permission and consent of all other named Defendants.

4. Plaintiff MARCUS XAVIER GAINES was at all times mentioned herein the operator of the 2007 Infiniti FX35.

- 5. Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was at all times mentioned herein the operator of a 2020 Frieghtline Cab (hereinafter "the Vehicle").
- 6. Defendants TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC was at all times mentioned herein an owner of the Vehicle.
- 7. On July 14, 2020, Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL negligently operated the vehicle, causing Plaintiff serious bodily injuries.
- 8. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff sustained serious injuries to her bodily limbs, organs and systems, all or some of which condition may be permanent and disabling, and all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.00.
- 9. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Decedent received medical and other treatment for the aforementioned injuries, all to the damage of Decedent.
- 10. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff was required to, and was limited in occupational and recreational activities, which caused Plaintiff physical impairment, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life, in an unascertainable amount.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence of all Defendants, Plaintiff has been required to engage the services of an attorney, incurring attorney's fees and costs to bring this action.
- 12. Defendants TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC are liable vicariously to Plaintiff by virtue of the doctrine of *Respondeat Superior* in that Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was acting within the course and scope of employment for Defendants TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC at all relevant times material to this matter set forth in this action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence against Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL)

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 12 of the Complaint as though said paragraphs were fully set forth herein.
- 14. Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL owed Plaintiff a duty of care to operate the vehicle in a reasonable and safe manner. Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL breached that duty of

- 2 --

2.7

III

care by causing injuries to Plaintiff. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL, Plaintiff was damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence Per Se against Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL)

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 14 of the Complaint as though said paragraphs were fully set forth herein.
- 16. The acts of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL as described herein violated the traffic laws of the State of Nevada and Clark County, constituting negligence per se, and Plaintiff was damaged as a direct and proximate result thereof in an amount in excess of \$15,000,00.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Entrustment against Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC)

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 16 of the Complaint as though said paragraphs were fully set forth herein.
- 18. Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC was the owner, or had custody and control of the Vehicle.
- 19. Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC did entrust the Vehicle to the control of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL.
- 20. Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was incompetent, inexperienced, or reckless in the operation of the Vehicle.
- 21. Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC actually knew or, by the exercise of reasonable care, should have known that Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was incompetent, inexperienced, or reckless in the operation of motor vehicles.
- 22. Plaintiff was injured as a proximate consequence of the negligence and incompetence of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL a direct and proximate cause of the negligent entrustment of the Vehicle by Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC to Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL, Plaintiff have been damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Hiring against Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC)

- 23. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 22 of the Complaint as if those paragraphs were fully incorporated herein.
- 24. Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC hired Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL to operate the Vehicle.
- 25. Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was incompetent, inexperienced, or reckless in the operation of the Vehicle.
- 26. Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was incompetent, inexperienced, or reckless in the operation of the Vehicle.
- 27. Plaintiffs were injured as a proximate consequence of the negligence and incompetence of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL resulting from the negligent hiring, supervision, and/or training of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL by Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC
- 28. As a direct and proximate cause of the negligent hiring, supervision, and/or training by Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Respondent Superior against Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC)

- 29. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 28 of the Complaint as if those paragraphs were fully incorporated herein.
- 30. Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL was acting within the course and scope of his/her employment while operating the Vehicle.
- 31. Defendant TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC is therefore liable for the negligent actions of Defendant DANILO ANTONIO ESPINAL.
 - 32. Plaintiffs were injured as a proximate consequence of Defendants' negligence.

|| \|\

33. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to amend this complaint prior to or at the time of trial of this action, to insert those items of damage not yet fully ascertainable, pray judgment against all Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general damages sustained by Plaintiff in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00;
- 2. For special damages sustained by Plaintiff in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00;
- 3. For property damages sustained by Plaintiff;
- 4. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- 5. For interest at the statutory rate; and
- 6. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

ER INJURY ACTORNEYS

Justin G. Randall, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12476 4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Attorneys for Plaintiff